

# CHEMICAL COMPOSITION OF FOODS EATEN BY AFRICAN COLOBINES COMPARED WITH SOUTHEAST ASIAN COLOBINES

Jenna Lawrence<sup>1</sup>, MS, Colleen McCann<sup>2</sup>, PhD, and Ellen S. Dierenfeld<sup>3\*</sup>, MS, PhD

<sup>1</sup>Department of Ecology, Evolution, and Environmental Biology, Columbia University, New York, NY USA; <sup>2</sup>Department of Mammalogy, Wildlife Conservation Society, Bronx, NY USA; <sup>3</sup>Department of Animal Health and Nutrition, Saint Louis Zoo, St. Louis, MO USA

## Extended Abstract

Nutritional analyses of foods eaten by wild colobine populations can be useful for understanding colobine nutritional needs, crucial for successful maintenance of captive populations. A previous report by Nijboer *et al.*<sup>16</sup> summarized the mineral and proximate data for browse composition of three species of Southeast Asian colobines. The authors noted that the diets of these wild colobines contained substantially more fiber and less protein than the diets of captive colobines.<sup>15</sup>

This report summarizes nutritional data from field studies of six species and two subspecies of African colobines: *Colobus angolensis*, *C. guereza*, *C. satanas*, *C. polykomos*, *Procolobus badius tephrosceles*, *P. b. rufomitratu*s, and *P. verus*. Data from multiple studies on feeding records and nutritional analyses were grouped by field site, and data from identical analyses at the same site but in different studies were averaged. Plant parts were differentiated when the information was provided, and all data were averaged for each site and colobine taxon. If necessary, crude protein was calculated from reported nitrogen values. Individual plant composition data from each published study will be available through the Forager's Source online browse database (<http://www.foragerssource.org>). The summary statistics most likely do not accurately reflect true dietary composition; data from all food plant species were counted equally despite the fact that, in most studies, a few preferred plant species dominated the diet. Moreover, the analyses performed differed greatly among studies, resulting in small sample sizes and consequently large standard deviations. Results are summarized in Tables 1-3, with references listed in Table 4. All percentages are averages based on a dry matter basis.

Inadequate dietary fiber may represent a considerable threat to the health of captive folivorous primates.<sup>6</sup> As with Asian colobines, African colobine browse contained high proportions of fiber, although the acid detergent fiber (ADF) for most species was slightly lower (African: 22.8-42.3%; Asian: 30.5-52.3%). However, the diet of *Colobus satanas* at Douala-Edea Forest Reserve in Cameroon, a site known for sandy, acidic soils and vegetation high in tannins and fiber<sup>4,10</sup>, contained the greatest amount of ADF (mature leaves: 64.7%; young leaves: 50.7%). Only one study calculated neutral detergent fiber (NDF), a better indicator of total fiber. The results were comparable to, if a bit lower than, those of the Asian colobine study (African: mature leaves: 47.3%, young leaves: 35.6%; Asian: 43.7-57.2% for all leaves). In published studies, young leaves appear to be preferred over mature leaves for both primate groups, although chemical data do not necessarily predict preference. Averages for fiber content of young leaves were lower than mature leaves except for *C. guereza* at Kanyawara, Kibale, Uganda. Lignin was also somewhat lower, but the sample size was small (African: 5.8-19.8%;

Asian: 14.5-28.3%). Pepsin/cellulase digestibility (CDIG), an estimate of dry matter digestibility, was substantially lower in Douala-Edea than other sites.

The simple average and range of total crude protein values were slightly, though perhaps not significantly, higher for African than Asian colobines (African:  $16.9 \pm 7.3\%$ , 5.7-37%; Asian:  $12.9 \pm 4.4\%$ , 5.2- 16.0%). However, 50% of all protein in the Asian colobine diets was bound, and therefore nutritionally unavailable. None of the African studies tested for bound protein. Seeds in the diets of African colobines contained more crude protein than those for Asian colobines (African: 9.1, 20.6, 22.4%; Asian: 8.1, 10.9%). Young leaves contained more crude protein than mature leaves at all African sites except Douala-Edea, and the protein in young leaves and seeds were comparable. Crude protein at Douala-Edea was far lower than at other sites.

Regarding minerals, Nijboer *et al.*<sup>16</sup> suggested that calcium and iron might be limiting for colobines. Although iron was not measured in any African study, calcium was slightly lower in the Asian colobine study (African: 0.2-1.6%; Asian: 0.5-3.6%), not counting one mature leaf sample from Kanyawara for *Procolobus badius tephrosceles* (9.9%). While relatively high calcium was found in flowers and seeds for Asian colobines, none was tested for African colobines. Magnesium was the only other mineral assay in common for both African and Asian colobine studies, but for African colobines there was only one sample from one site. Relatively large amounts of potassium were measured in browse at Kanyawara (1.4-9.8%). The amounts of ash measured in African studies (2.1-16.7%) indicate a large presence of unidentified minerals.

Nijboer *et al.*<sup>16</sup> did not consider secondary compounds. Data for African colobines revealed a pattern of higher condensed tannins in young leaves than mature leaves. The highest levels were found in flowers and leaves at Mcheleo, Tana River Reserve, Kenya. There was no consistent pattern in total phenolics; values varied for leaves and flowers, from 0.1 and 0.2% for *Colobus guereza* at Kanyawara<sup>22</sup> to 21.2-34.1% for *Procolobus badius rufomitratu*s at Mcheleo.<sup>14</sup>

Clearly, more data, preferably standardized, from additional species and field sites are needed both for comparisons among African colobines and between African and Asian colobines, especially if the wild studies are to be truly informative for captive nutrition. Interestingly, all the immature leaf data in this summary encompass ranges measured in browse leaves (n=4 spp.) consumed by black and white colobus at the Central Park Zoo in a recently published paper<sup>21</sup>, so we believe these summary data are not misleading but do in fact reflect ranges that are consumed and tolerated by African colobines - both in nature and in captivity. Currently, mineral, bound protein, carbohydrate, and lignin data are particularly lacking.

## LITERATURE CITED

1. Baranga, D. 1982. Nutrient Composition and food preferences of colobus monkeys in Kibale Forest, Uganda. *Afr. J. Ecol.* 20:113-121.
2. Baranga, D. 1983. Changes in chemical composition of food parts in the diet of colobus monkeys. *Ecology* 64:668-673.

3. Chapman, C.A., L.J. Chapman, K.A. Bjorndal, and D.A. Onderdonk DA. 2002. Application of protein-to-fiber ratios to predict colobine abundance on different spatial scales. *Int. J. Primatol.* 23:283-310.
4. Choo, G.M., P.G. Waterman, D.B. McKey, and J.S. Gartlan JS. 1981. A simple enzyme assay for dry matter and its value in studying food selection by generalist herbivores. *Oecologia* 49:170-178.
5. Dasilva, G.L. 1994. Diet of *Colobus polykomos* on Tiwai Island: selection of food in relation to its seasonal abundance and nutritional quality. *Int. J. Primatol.* 15:655-680.
6. Edwards, M.S. and D.E. Ullrey. 1999. Effect of dietary fiber concentration on apparent digestibility and digesta passage in non-human primates. II. Hindgut-and foregut-fermenting folivores. *Zoo Biology* 18:537-549.
7. Fashing, P.J. 2001. Feeding ecology of guerezas in the Kakamega Forest, Kenya: the importance of Moraceae fruit in their diet. *Int. J. Primatol.* 22:579-609.
8. Fimbel, C., A. Vedder, E. Dierenfeld, and F. Mulindahabi. 2001. An ecological basis for large group size in *Colobus angolensis* in the Nyungwe Forest, Rwanda. *Afr. J. Ecol.* 39:83-92
9. Gartlan, J.S., D.B. McKey DB, and P.G. Waterman. 1978. Soils, forest structure and feeding behavior of primates in a Cameroon rainforest. In D.J. Chivers and J. Herbert (Eds), *Recent Advances in Primatology, Volume 1, Behaviour*:259-267. New York & London:Academic Press.
10. Gartlan, J.S., D.B. McKey, P.G. Waterman, and T.T. Struhsaker. 1980. A comparative study of the phytochemistry of the two African Rain Forests. *Biochem. System. Ecol.* 8:401-422.
11. Marsh, C.W. 1981. Diet choice among red colobus (*Colobus badius rufomitratu*s) on the Tana River, Kenya. *Folia Primatologica* 35:147-178.
12. McKey, D.B., J.S. Gartlan, P.G. Waterman, and G.M. Choo. 1981. Food selection by black colobus monkeys (*Colobus satanas*) in relation to plant chemistry. *Biol. J. Linn. Soc.* 16:115-146.
13. McKey, D. and P.G. Waterman. 1982. Ranging behaviour in a group of black colobus (*Colobus satanas*) in the Douala-Edea Reserve, Cameroon. *Folia Primatologica* 39:264-304.
14. Mowry, C.B., B.S. Decker, and D.J. Shure. 1996. The role of phytochemistry in dietary choices of Tana Red River colobus monkeys. *Int. J. Primatol.* 17:63-84.
15. Nijboer, J. and E.S. Dierenfeld. 1996. Comparison of diets fed to southeast Asian colobines in North American and European zoos, with emphasis on temperate browse composition. *Zoo Biology* 15:499-507.
16. Nijboer, J., E.S. Dierenfeld, C.P. Yeager, E.L. Bennett, W. Bleisch, and A.H. Mitchell. 1997. Chemical composition of southeast Asian colobine foods. *Proc. Second Conf. Nutrition Advisory Group, AZA* (abstract).
17. Oates, J.F. 1988. The diet of the olive colobus monkey, *Procolobus verus*, in Sierra Leone. *Int. J. Primatol.* 9:457-478.
18. Oates, J.F., G.H. Whitesides, A.G. Davies, P.G. Waterman , S.M. Green, G.L. Dasilva, and S. Mole S. 1990. Determinants of variation in tropical forest primate biomass: new evidence from West Africa. *Ecology* 71:328-343.
19. Struhsaker, T.T. 1975. *The Red Colobus Monkey*. Chicago & London:The University of Chicago Press.
20. Struhsaker, T.T. 1978. Food Habits of five monkey species in the Kibale Forest, Uganda. In D.J. Chivers and J. Herbert (Eds), *Recent Advances in Primatology, Volume 1, Behaviour*:225-247. New York & London:Academic Press.

21. Tovar, T.C., D. Moore, and E. Dierenfeld. 2005. Preferences Among Four Species of Local Browse Offered to *Colobus guereza kikuyuensis* at the Central Park Zoo. *Zoo Biology* 24:267-274.
22. Waterman, P.G., C.N. Mbi, D.B. McKey, and J.S. Gartlan. 1980. African rainforest vegetation and rumen microbes: phenolic compounds and nutrients as correlates of digestibility. *Oecologia* 47:22-33.

**Table 1.** Chemical composition of native foods eaten by African colobus monkeys, summarized from published literature; all nutrients on a dry matter basis.

Primate Taxon	Plant Part	CP <sup>1</sup> (6.25N)	% dry matter				Field Site
			ADF <sup>2</sup>	NDF <sup>3</sup>	CDIG <sup>4</sup>	Lignin	
<i>Colobus angolensis</i>	Mature Leaves	20.7 ±6.6 (n=16)	24.9 ±6.8 (n=16)			10.45 (n=16)	Nyungwe
<i>Colobus guereza</i>	Mature Leaves	16.8 (n=2)	19.1 ±21.9 (n=2)		64.2 (n=1)	10.7 ±1.2 (n=2)	Kanyawara
	Mature Leaves	18.4 ±4.0 (n=9)	34.5 ±8.9 (n=9)	47.3 ±9.3 (n=9)			Kakamega
	Young Leaves	29.9 ±14.5 (n=3)	30.0 ±9.1 (n=3)		77.5 (n=1)	12.3 ±3.7 (n=3)	Kanyawara
	Young Leaves	24.1 ±5.6 (n=9)	29.4 ±8.2 (n=9)	35.6 ±5.6 (n=9)		5.8 (n=1)	Kakamega
<i>Colobus satanas</i>	Mature Leaves	7.9 ±7.5 (n=5)	64.7 ±8.7 (n=4)		20.9 ±5.0 (n=4)		Douala-Edea
	Young Leaves	5.7 ±8.4 (n=6)	50.7 ±15.2 (n=6)		33.9 ±16.7 (n=6)		Douala-Edea
	Seeds	9.1 ±6.4 (n=7)					Douala-Edea
<i>Colobus polykomos</i>	Mature Leaves	12.4 ±1.2 (n=5)	40.3 ±7.0 (n=5)				Tiwai
	Young Leaves	22.3 ±8.2 (n=6)	26.9 ±10.4 (n=6)				Tiwai
	Unripe Seeds/Fruits	22.4 ±9.4 (n=6)	24.9 ±20.8 (n=6)				Tiwai
<i>Procolobus tephrosceles</i>	Mature Leaves	18.4 ±3.8 (n=11)	35.1 ±10.3 (n=11)		54.2 ±10.6 (n=7)	19.8 ±11.7 (n=3)	Kanyawara
	Mature Leaves	16.4 ±3.0 (n=7)	33.0 ±7.1 (n=7)				Dura River
	Mature Leaves	18.3 ±3.8 (n=9)	35.0 ±9.8 (n=9)				Mainaro

	<b>Mature Leaves</b>	<b>17.0</b> ±6.6 (n=7)	<b>36.4</b> ±5.6 (n=7)				Sebatoli
	<b>Mature Leaf Petioles</b>	<b>10.9</b> ±2.0 (n=3)	<b>42.3</b> ±9.5 (n=2)			<b>14.0</b> ±14.5 (n=3)	Kanyawara
	<b>Young Leaves</b>	<b>37.0</b> ±2.9 (n=2)	<b>22.8</b> ±6.4 (n=4)		<b>54.3</b> ±32.9 (n=2)	<b>7.6</b> ±3.0 (n=2)	Kanyawara
	<b>Young Leaf Petioles</b>	<b>12.3</b> ±1.2 (n=2)	<b>40.5</b> (n=1)			<b>12.3</b> ±3.6 (n=2)	Kanyawara
<i>Procolobus badius rufomitratu</i> s	<b>Mature Leaves</b>	<b>13.3</b> ±3.9 (n=12)	<b>29.6</b> ±8.4 (n=13)				Mcheleo
	<b>Young Leaves</b>	<b>20.1</b> ±6.1 (n=14)	<b>23.8</b> ±10.1 (n=14)				Mcheleo
	<b>Mature Fruit</b>	<b>6.9</b> (n=1)	<b>50.7</b> (n=1)				Mcheleo
	<b>Immature Fruit</b>	<b>7.3</b> ±5.2 (n=3)	<b>57.4</b> ±2.4 (n=3)				Mcheleo
	<b>Flowers</b>	<b>16.3</b> ±8.8 (n=4)	<b>24.2</b> ±9.0 (n=4)				Mcheleo
<i>Procolobus verus</i>	<b>Mature Leaves</b>	<b>13.5</b> ±4.4 (n=6)	<b>51.9</b> ±11.0 (n=6)				Tiwai
	<b>Young Leaves</b>	<b>22.1</b> ±6.7 (n=6)	<b>22.0</b> ±19.2 (n=6)				Tiwai
	<b>Seeds</b>	<b>20.6</b> (n=1)	<b>50.7</b> (n=1)				Tiwai

<sup>1</sup>CP = crude protein; <sup>2</sup>ADF = acid detergent fiber; <sup>3</sup>NDF = neutral detergent fiber; <sup>4</sup>CDIG = pepsin/cellulase digestibility.

**Table 2.** Mineral composition of native foods eaten by African colobus monkeys, summarized from published literature; all nutrients on a dry matter basis.

Primate Taxon	Plant Part	Ash	P	Ca	K	Mg	Na	Field Site
		% dry matter						
<i>Colobus guereza</i>	Mature Leaves	16.7 ±2.1 (n=2)	0.2 (n=1)	0.9 (n=1)	1.4 (n=1)		0.3 (n=1)	Kanyawara
	Mature Leaves	8.3 ±3.5 (n=9)						Kakamega
	Young Leaves	9.7 (n=1)	1 (n=1)	1.8 (n=1)	7.5 (n=1)		0.1 (n=1)	Kanyawara
	Young Leaves	7.3 ±3.2 (n=9)	1.4 (n=1)	0.5 (n=1)	5.5 (n=1)	0.2 (n=1)	0.1 (n=1)	Kakamega
<i>Colobus satanas</i>	Mature Leaves	4.9 ±3.8 (n=3)	0.1 ±0.0 (n=3)					Douala-Edea
	Young Leaves	4.3 ±1 (n=3)	0.5 ±0.2 (n=3)					Douala-Edea
	Seeds	2.1 ±1.0 (n=5)	0.2 ±0.0 (n=5)					Douala-Edea
<i>Procolobus badius tephrosceles</i>	Mature Leaves	14.8 ±6.9 (n=7)	0.2 ±0.1 (n=5)	9.9 (n=1)	1.4 (n=1)		0.3 (n=1)	Kanyawara
	Mature Leaf Petioles	9.9 (n=1)	0.4 ±0.2 (n=2)	3.6 ±3.0 (n=1)	6.0 (n=1)		0.2 (n=1)	Kanyawara
	Young Leaves	7.8 (n=1)	0.8 ±0.3 (n=2)	1.5 ±1.0 (n=2)	5.2 (n=1)		0.1 (n=1)	Kanyawara
	Young Leaf Petioles	5.8 (n=1)	0.6 ±0.5 (n=2)	1.3 ±0.2 (n=2)	9.8 (n=1)		0.1 (n=1)	Kanyawara

**Table 3.** Secondary compounds in native foods eaten by African colobus monkeys, summarized from published literature; all nutrients on a dry matter basis.

Primate Taxon	Plant Part	FD <sup>1</sup>	V <sup>2</sup>	PA <sup>3</sup>	HT <sup>4</sup> (mg/g)	Field Site
		% dry matter				
<i>Colobus guereza</i>	Mature Leaves	1.9 (n=1)	0.0 (n=1)	0.2 (n=1)		Kanyawara
	Young Leaves	2.5 ±0.6 (n=2)	0.0 (n=1)	0.1 ±0.0 (n=2)		Kanyawara
<i>Colobus satanas</i>	Mature Leaves	6.6 ±3.2 (n=5)	2.7 ±1.8 (n=5)	4.2 ±2.6 (n=5)		Douala-Edea
	Young Leaves	8.5 ±4.8 (n=6)	4.7 ±6.3 (n=6)	7.3 ±5.4 (n=6)		Douala-Edea
	Seeds	2.8 ±2.8 (n=7)	0.4 ±0.6 (n=7)	1.2 ±1.0 (n=7)		Douala-Edea
<i>Colobus polykomos</i>	Mature Leaves			9.7 ±7.8 (n=3)		Tiwai
<i>Procolobus badius tephrosceles</i>	Mature Leaves	3.3 ±2.6 (n=8)	1.6 ±2.3 (n=8)	2.9 ±5.6 (n=8)		Kanyawara
	Young Leaves	7.4 ±2.5 (n=3)	3.2 ±4.1 (n=2)	4.6 ±5.3 (n=4)		Kanyawara
<i>Procolobus badius rufomitratu</i> s	Mature Leaves	1.4 ±1.1 (n=13)		34.1 26.9 (n=13)	4.3 ±2.2 (n=13)	Mcheleo
	Young Leaves	2.0 ±2.8 (n=14)		28.9 34.3 (n=14)	4.8 ±2.5 (n=14)	Mcheleo
	Mature Fruit	0.0 (n=1)		0.3 (n=1)	1.1 (n=1)	Mcheleo

	<b>Immature Fruit</b>	0.0 ±0.0 (n=3)		0.2 ±0.1 (n=3)	0.6 ±0.1 (n=3)	Mcheleo
	<b>Flowers</b>	2.4 ±2.0 (n=4)		21.2 ±23.8 (n=14)	21.4 ±21.4 (n=4)	Mcheleo
<i>Procolobus verus</i>	<b>Mature Leaves</b>			15.6 ±11.0 (n=6)		Tiwai
	<b>Young Leaves</b>			5.2 ±7.7 (n=6)		Tiwai
	<b>Seeds</b>			0.5 (n=1)		Tiwai

Test Methodology: <sup>1</sup>FD = Folin-Denis; <sup>2</sup>V = Vanillin; <sup>3</sup>PA = Proanthocyanidin; <sup>4</sup>HT = hydrolysable tannins.

**Table 4.** Reference sources used to summarize nutrient composition of native foods consumed by African colobines, categorized according to field site and primate taxon.

<b>Field Site</b>	<b>Primate taxon</b>	<b>Literature Cited</b>
Douala-Edea Reserve, Cameroon	<i>Colobus satanas</i>	Choo <i>et al.</i> 1981, Gartlan <i>et al.</i> 1980, McKey <i>et al.</i> 1981, McKey and Waterman 1982, Waterman <i>et al.</i> 1980
Dura River, Kibale, Uganda	<i>Procolobus badius tephrosceles</i>	Chapman <i>et al.</i> 2002
Kakamega, Kenya	<i>Colobus guereza</i>	Fashing 2001, unpub data
Kanyawara, Kibale, Kenya	<i>Colobus guereza</i>	Baranga 1982, 1983; Choo <i>et al.</i> 1981,
	<i>Procolobus badius tephrosceles</i>	Baranga 1982, 1983; Chapman <i>et al.</i> 2002; Choo <i>et al.</i> 1981, Gartlan <i>et al.</i> 1980, Struhsaker 1975, Waterman <i>et al.</i> 1980
Mainaro, Kibale, Kenya	<i>Procolobus badius tephrosceles</i>	Chapman <i>et al.</i> 2002
Mcheleo, Tana River Reserve, Kenya	<i>Procolobus badius rufomitratu</i> s	Marsh 1981, Mowry <i>et al.</i> 1996
Nyungwe Forest Reserve, Rwanda	<i>Colobus angolensis</i>	Fimbel <i>et al.</i> 2001
Sebatoli, Kibale, Kenya	<i>Procolobus badius tephrosceles</i>	Chapman <i>et al.</i> 2002
Tiwai Island, Sierra Leone	<i>Colobus polykomos</i>	Dasilva 1994, Oates 1990
	<i>Procolobus verus</i>	Dasilva 1994, Oates 1988